

1 **WO**

2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8  
9  
10 United States of America, ) No. 13-3254M-3  
11 )  
12 Plaintiff, ) **ORDER OF DETENTION**  
13 )  
14 vs. )  
15 )  
16 Manuel Valenzuela-Valdez, )  
17 Defendant. )  
18 )  
19 )  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

18 In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing  
19 has been held. I conclude that the following facts are established: (Check one or both, as  
20 applicable.)

21 ☐ by clear and convincing evidence Defendant is a danger to the community  
22 and require the detention of Defendant pending trial in this case.

23 ☐ by a preponderance of the evidence Defendant is a serious flight risk and  
24 require the detention of Defendant pending trial in this case.

25 **PART I -- FINDINGS OF FACT**

26 ☐ (1) Defendant has been convicted of a (federal offense)(state or local  
27 offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal  
28 jurisdiction had existed) that is

☐ a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4).

☐ an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death.

☐ an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in \_\_\_\_\_.

☐ a felony that was committed after Defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.

☐ (2) The offense described in finding 1 was committed while Defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense.

☐ (3) A period of not more than five years has elapsed since the (date of conviction)(release of Defendant from imprisonment) for the offense described in finding No. 1.

☐ (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of other person(s) and the community. I further find that Defendant has not rebutted this presumption.

#### **Alternative Findings**

☒ (1) There is probable cause to believe that Defendant has committed an offense

☒ for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed by 21 U.S.C. § 841(a)(1), (b)(1)(B)(vii).

☐ under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)

☒ (2) Defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding No. 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant as required.

#### **Alternative Findings**

☐ (1) There is a serious risk that Defendant will flee; no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of Defendant as required.

☐ (2) No condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.

☐ (3) There is a serious risk that Defendant will (obstruct or attempt to obstruct justice) (threaten, injure, or intimidate a prospective witness or juror).

☐ (4) \_\_\_\_\_

---

**PART II -- WRITTEN STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION**  
(Check one or both, as applicable.)

☐ (1) I find that the credible testimony and information<sup>1</sup> submitted at the hearing establishes by clear and convincing evidence as to danger that:

---

☒ (2) I find that a preponderance of the evidence as to risk of flight that:

☒ Defendant is not a citizen of the United States.

☒ Defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.

☐ If released herein, Defendant faces deportation proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court.

☒ Defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.

☒ Defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.

☐ Defendant has a prior criminal history.

☒ Defendant lives and works in Mexico.

☐ Defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in then United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.

---

<sup>1</sup> “The rules concerning admissibility of evidence in criminal trials do not apply to the presentation and consideration of information at the [detention] hearing.” 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f). *See*, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) for the factors to consider for detention.

- 1           ☐ There is a record of Defendant's prior failure to appear in court as ordered.  
2           ☐ Defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law  
3 enforcement.  
4           ☒ Defendant is facing a minimum mandatory of five years incarceration and a  
5 maximum of 40 years.  
6           ☐ Defendant does not dispute the information contained in the Pretrial  
7 Services Report, except:
- 

8  
9           ☐ In addition:

---

10  
11           The Court incorporates by reference the findings of the Pretrial Services Agency  
12 which were reviewed by the Court at the time of the hearing in this matter.

13                           **PART III -- DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION**

14                   **IT IS ORDERED** that Defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney  
15 General or his/her designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility  
16 separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being  
17 held in custody pending appeal. Defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for  
18 private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on  
19 request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility  
20 shall deliver Defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in  
21 connection with a court proceeding.


22                           **PART IV -- APPEALS AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE**

23                   **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that should an appeal of this detention order be  
24 filed, it is counsel's responsibility to deliver a copy of the motion for review/  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 reconsideration to Pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the  
2 District Court. Pursuant to Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P., effective December 1, 2005,  
3 Defendant shall have **fourteen (14) days** from the date of service of a copy of this order  
4 or after the oral order is stated on the record within which to file specific written  
5 objections with the assigned district judge. Failure to timely file objections in accordance  
6 with Rule 59(a) will waive the right to review. Rule 59(a), Fed.R.Crim.P.  
7  
8

9 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that if a release to a third party is considered, it is  
10 defense counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the  
11 hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview  
12 and investigate the potential third party custodian.  
13

14 DATED this 29<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2013.

15   
16 Lawrence O. Anderson  
17 United States Magistrate Judge  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28